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What Is a Temple?
A Preliminary Typology

John M. Lundquist

As we attempt to determine what constitutes a temple and its ritual in the ancient Near East, it becomes evident that we find in the temple of Solomon many kinds of archaeological problems, ones that involve architecture, interior and exterior furnishings, ritual installations, arrangements of courtyards, and relationships to other buildings—and yet, there are no archaeological remains of Solomon's Temple. The accounts of Solomon's Temple also present us with philological or text problems. We find in the Bible descriptions of building procedures and descriptions of the cult carried out within the temple. And yet the biblical material is beset with problems: it is diffuse, separated chronologically, and in some cases contradictory within itself, as is the case with the descriptions given in 1 Kings and 2 Chronicles of various architectural details. Rare indeed is an instance anywhere in ancient western Asia where we have the union of standing or excavated temple remains and texts that can be unequivocally related to the ritual practices of that temple. When we face these

---

deficiencies with regard to the temple of Solomon, we are led inevitably to the comparative method, and we attempt to relate architectural remains and ritual texts from surrounding cultures to those descriptions given in the Old Testament.\(^4\) As unsatisfying as the comparative approach often is, it can yield positive results if kept “within closely adjacent historical, cultural or linguistic units,” and if “the comparison be between a total ensemble rather than between isolated motifs.”\(^5\)

When using the comparative method, the issue of cultural continuity versus discontinuity must be considered. In the light of the extraordinary cultural disruptions in the ancient world,\(^6\) it is important to note that there were areas of equally extraordinary cultural, historical, and religious continuity.\(^7\) I believe that the temple as an institution and the cult associated with it constitute one of the most interesting examples of such continuity.\(^8\) The following list of motifs attempts to focus on this continuity. It does not purport to be a complete motif list (hence the word “preliminary” in the title), nor to have identified all examples to which a given motif may apply. Nor is it my intention to claim that a common “pattern” can be applied indiscriminately to all ancient Near Eastern temples without regard to time, space, and cultural uniqueness. The full extent to which such a list can be applied to various temple traditions is a task worthy of continued research.\(^9\)

**Proposition 1. The temple is the architectural embodiment of the cosmic mountain.**

This theme is extremely common in ancient Near Eastern texts.\(^10\) From the time of Sargon II onwards, the cult room of Assur in the temple of Assur, Ed-Aṣṣur, was “House of the Great Mountain of the Lands.”\(^11\) This perception is very common in the Old Testament, as is seen in
Near the cult, was persevered in cultic activity to which the biblical text applies the formula "before the Lord." As Menahem Haran states it: "In general, any cultic activity to which the biblical text applies the formula "before the Lord" can be considered an indication of a temple at the site, since this...
expression stems from the basic conception of the temple as a divine dwelling-place and actually belongs to the temple's technical terminology. In spite of the many vagaries involved in the textual analysis of Exodus 19-24, it would seem that in this case the "temple at the site" is the mountain itself. Geo Widengren compares the Sinai theophany with the text describing the enthronement of Enmeduranki of Nippur in the temple of Ebarra: "ascension to God, a meeting between Moses and God and a handing over to Moses of the tablets belonging to God." He further mentions the sacral meal that Moses and the elders ate in the presence of God (see Exodus 24:11) following the sealing of the covenant with blood (see Exodus 24:8).

Proposition 2. The cosmic mountain represents the primordial hillock, the place that first emerged from the waters covering the earth during the creative process.

In Egypt, for example, all temples are seen as representing the primeval hillock. Practically every temple or shrine of this period [Late Period] was considered a replica of the first temple, built upon the primaeval mound in the midst of the water of the Nun.

The Eninna temple, built by Gudea, is depicted as arising out of the primeval waters (apsu) and raising its head to heaven. This same temple is called the "foundation of the abyss"—temen abzu—and the "house of the abyss." The Gudea Cylinders are filled with the motif of the house (= mountain) rising up out of the primordial waters. Indeed, it seems to me that the Gudea Cylinders are social and religious documents of inestimable value. They provide us the full scenario of temple building as it must have been perceived by many ancients. Parts of this scenario can be attested elsewhere, but perhaps nowhere else in such complete form.
Figure 6. In the temple of Horus at Edfu (A), massive columns represent the aquatic plants growing up from the primeval waters. This is also represented by the papyrus and reeds engraved within the black granite shrine (B), where a golden image of Horus stood behind closed doors. The shrine is surmounted by a pyramidion representing the primordial hillock. The altar (C) originally supported a sacred boat in which the image of the deity was carried on the shoulders of priests in procession down this corridor past the columns, as if the boat and image were floating on the waters of the first morning of creation.
Proposition 3. The temple is often associated with the waters of life that flow forth from a spring within the building itself—or rather the temple is viewed as incorporating within itself or as having been built upon such a spring.

The reason such springs existed in temples is that they were perceived as the primeval waters of creation—Nun in Egypt, Abzu in Mesopotamia. The temple is thus founded on and stands in contact with the primeval waters. According to Hugh Nibley, “at every hierocentric shrine stood a mountain or artificial mound and a lake or spring from which four streams flowed out to bring the life-giving waters to the four regions of the earth.” Geo Widengren
Figure 8. The Taj Mahal (A) is the most famous example of the Persian-style paradise gardens where the four rivers of Eden flow from the center, as also illustrated by this contemporary (c. 1650) Islamic map of paradise (B).

connects the water, tree, temple basin, and a sacred grove. The theme occurs in Ezekiel 47:1 and, in all probability, in Psalm 29.

Proposition 4. The temple is built on separate, sacred, set-apart space.24

Excavations at Eridu and Uruk and the Diyala Valley document the practice of incorporating the foundations of earlier temples into the platform of later ones. This practice was achieved by filling in the surviving chambers of the earlier temple with mud brick.25 This same practice has been documented more recently in Syria.26 Mount Moriah, the place where Solomon built his temple, carried of course the association of Abraham’s sacrifice of Isaac. But the
Figure 9. Even today in the Near East, the farmer rides a wooden sledge in order to crush and separate the kernels from the chaff on the threshing floor, as shown in this 1897 engraving. This circular, hard-packed surface was frequently the scene of harvest festivals and ritual drama. King David bought the threshing floor of Araunah the Jebusite and offered the oxen and threshing instruments as an atoning sacrifice. This spot later became the site of Solomon's Temple (2 Chronicles 3:1).

threshing floor, which David purchased from Araunah the Jebusite, may carry overtones more significant for the erection of a temple. And de Vries points out that "the threshing floor is an omphalos, at once a navel of the world (with the hub of ears in the middle) and a universe-emblem (a round piece of earth, with the earth in the middle, and the sun-oxen going round)."

The process of excavating an enormous trench, which is then filled with sand, the whole serving as the foundation for the temple, is known not only from Early Dynastic Mesopotamia (the Temple Oval at Khafaje), but also in Late-Period Egypt. Late-Period Egyptian texts give the mythological rationale behind this practice: the bed of sand represents the primeval mound, which is founded in the primeval womb.
Figure 10. Pausanias (c. A.D. 150) recorded his experience of consulting the oracle of Trophonios, where he fasted, crossed a river; was bathed, anointed, and clothed in a white robe; and climbed the sacred mountain. He then descended through the center of a marble platform "like a threshing floor," where he experienced the divine mystery, which he was forbidden and unable to describe.

primeval waters of Nun. A similar "mythological" setting for the practice documented at Khafaje would seem to be present in the temple of Enki at Eridu, which was also believed to have been founded in the primeval waters, in this case Abzu. As A. J. Spencer states, "The effect of religious beliefs on architecture was not, as some have claimed, a vague symbolism, but was an important part of the construction of the temples, necessary for the buildings to fulfill their symbolic role."
Figure 11. The Great Pyramid of Khufu is oriented to the four directions with astonishing accuracy. The northern so-called “air shaft” points to the North Pole and the pole star of that time (c. 2600 B.C.), Alpha Draconis, while the southern channel points to Osiris/Orion at culmination. They could not have been used as sighting tubes, but were intended for the passage of the Pharaoh’s soul (ka) to the eternal stars.

Proposition 5. The temple is oriented toward the four world regions or cardinal directions, and to various celestial bodies such as the polar star.

As such it is, or can be, an astronomical observatory where sightings are made, the purpose of which is to help those who come to the temple orient themselves in the universe. The buildings might face the rising sun or other celestial bodies, for example.

There is an example of a long-maintained tradition of orienting the corners of temple buildings to the cardinal directions, as in the prehistoric temples of levels 11 through 6 at Eridu (Tell Abu Shahrain) and the partly contemporaneous northern Ubaid period temples of levels 14 through 12 at Tepe Gawra. The burials discovered in the Ubaid period cemetery at Eridu were oriented in the same way.

Figure 12. In the first stage of the Great A Heaven temple, the plains of the earth were oriented toward the temple ridge.
direction as the temples.\textsuperscript{32} A Seleucid period tablet for a temple ritual at Uruk reads in part, in A. Sachs’s translation: “In the first watch of the night, on the roof of the topmost stage of the temple-tower of the Resh temple, when the star Great Anu of Heaven rises and the star Great Antu of Heaven rises in the constellation Wagon, (he shall recite the composition beginning? . . . ).” And further on in the same text, “Upon seven large golden trays, you shall present water (for washing) hands to the planets Jupiter, Venus, Mercury, Saturn, Mars, the moon, and the sun, as soon as they appear.”\textsuperscript{33}

\begin{proposition}
Proposition 6. Temples, in their architectonic orientation, express the idea of a successive ascension toward heaven.
\end{proposition}

The Mesopotamian ziggurat or staged temple tower is an excellent example of this architectural principle. It was constructed of three, five, or seven stages or levels.
Monumental staircases led to the upper part of the tower, to a small temple that stood at the top.\textsuperscript{34}

Proposition 7. The plan and measurements of the temple are revealed by God to the king, and the plan must be carefully carried out.

Nabopolassar stated that he took the measurements of Etemenanki, the temple tower in the main temple precinct of Babylon, under the guidance of Shamash, Adad, and Marduk and that he kept the measurements in his memory as a treasure.\textsuperscript{35} Gudea's well-known dream, which he received while in the temple of Baga, revealed to him the plan of the temple to Ningirsu, which he was to build. He was shown a lapis-lazuli tablet with the temple plan on it and was given a sacred brick mould that contained the bricks to be used in the building.\textsuperscript{36} Moses was given the plans for the building of the tabernacle directly by God (see Exodus 25:9), and God appeared to Solomon at Gibeon before the building of the temple commenced (see 1 Kings 3:4–15) and after it was finished (see 1 Kings 9:3–9). Although the text does not say so explicitly, Kapelrud interprets the passages concerning Solomon in the light of the dream/revelations of Gudea and assumes that the plans of the temple must have been revealed to Solomon on the first occasion.\textsuperscript{37}

Proposition 8. The temple is the central, organizing, unifying institution in ancient Near Eastern society.

Solomon's dedicatory prayer for the Jerusalem temple in 1 Kings 8:22–54 is an extraordinarily clear expression of this idea. The same concept comes through clearly in the Gudea Cylinders.\textsuperscript{38} Jonathan Smith says of the ancient world: "On three things the world stands: on the law, on the temple service, and on piety," and adds the comment that "the temple and its ritual serve as the cosmic pillars or the
Figure 13. This image of Gudea of Lagash (A) would have been placed in a temple. It shows him with hands clasped in prayer, with a tablet and stylus on his lap. The tablet is delicately incised with the ground plan of a temple as well as a divine standard of measure along the edge. One of the frequently used cuneiform symbols in the lengthy inscription represents a peg, "du or gāg, meaning "to build," since pegs and rope were used in laying out the ground lines, as shown by the small bronze figure of a kneeling god (B) holding such a peg.
Figure 14. At the dedication of the Jerusalem temple, Solomon lifted up his arms to heaven and said, “The heavens, even the highest heaven, cannot contain you. How much less this temple I have built!” (1 Kings 8:27, NIV). This 1858 engraving from a Hebrew prayer book shows Solomon on his knees before the great three-tiered altar, with the wheeled bronze lavers for water on either side. The Hebrew inscription on the arch is from verse 30: “And hearken thou to the supplication of thy servant, and of thy people Israel.”
WHAT IS A TEMPLE? A PRELIMINARY TYPOLOGY

'sacred pole' supporting the world. If its service is interrupted or broken, if an error is made, then the world, the blessing, the fertility, indeed all of creation which flows from the Center, will likewise be disrupted."

Proposition 8a. The temple is associated with abundance and prosperity, indeed is perceived as the giver of these. Conversely, the destruction or loss of the temple is seen as calamitous and fatal to the community in which the temple stood.

One reads that abundance shall come from heaven when the foundation of the temple is laid, that there will be a fullness of water, oil, and wool and that harmony and light will influence people's lives. The destruction is viewed as the result of social and moral decadence and disobedience to God's word. This latter idea is seen quite clearly in Lamentations and Haggai and in the Sumerian "Lamentation over the destruction of Sumer and Ur," where, however, the destruction brought on Sumer and her temples and people is caused not so much by the people's wickedness as by a decree of Enil that political power be shifted to another people. The Sumerian historiographic poem "The Curse of Agade" is another well-known example of the view that the desecration of a temple by a king (in this case Naram-Sin) brings destruction on his entire people.

Proposition 9. Inside the temple, images of deities as well as kings, temple priests, and worshipers are washed, anointed, clothed, fed, enthroned, and symbolically initiated into the presence of deity, and thus into eternal life. Further, during the New Year rites, texts are read and dramatically portrayed that recite a pre-earthly war, the victory in the war by the forces of good, led by a chief deity, the creation and establishment of the cosmos, cities, temples, and social order. The sacred marriage is also carried out at this time.
Images were manufactured, washed, anointed, clothed, and initiated.⁴⁴ The clothing of the goddess in a “priestly garment” is described in the “Blessing of Nisaba by Enki.”⁴⁵ The washing and clothing of Inanna in “garments of power” in preparation for the sacred marriage rite and of Shulgi in the me garment along with a “crown-like wig” are described.⁴⁶ Exodus 29 and Leviticus 8 and 16 describe the washing, anointing, and clothing in priestly garments, including the Urim and Thummim, which Widengren associates with the Tablets of Destiny of Babylonian traditions of the Aaronide priests of Israel.⁴⁷ The “people” are involved
Figure 16. The temple complex of Osiris built by Seti I at Abydos is one of the most beautiful monuments of the New Kingdom, combining an underground island (A), surmounted by a mound planted with trees, with a vast temple built along the same axis. The roof of the cenotaph chamber (B) shows the sky-goddess Nut swallowing the winged sun disk, which will be reborn in the east. Though there is no direct passageway between the temple and tomb, their construction on the same axis demonstrates their unity in the minds of the designers.

in washing and clothing rituals at Sinai, just as they are involved in the covenant ceremony that follows the giving of the law (see Exodus 19 and 24).

The question of the temple as a locus of initiation into divine life, something that has long been associated with
Figure 17. The east wall of the subterranean central hall of the temple complex at Abydos shows symbols of Osiris and his sister/wife Isis along the central axis. The human forms of the deities always stand with their backs toward this axis, while the Pharaoh, wearing various crowns, moves toward it. The bottom register shows him being led into the divine presence, while the upper registers show him making offerings of cloth (a) and incense (b) and using his little finger to anoint with oil (c).

Egyptian religion, is a question intertwined with the issue of the temple as a locus of vicarious cult drama. That such was the case in Egypt is well established. It has long been assumed that the *Enuma Elish* was the “text” of the Babylon temple, recited at the victory, the cosmos doubted of Sennacherib, the way the Marduk fied as a Pallad deity in religion. Akitu is the victor.” bronze oration here.”

R. Cylinders ried of assume priestly year’s texts in was us presence in battle meal, a
Babylonian New Year's festival carried out in the Esagila temple and in the akitu festival house, that is, that it was recited there. That it was the text of a dramatic presentation, a dramatic recreation of the war in heaven, Marduk's victory, the creation of mankind, and the organization of the cosmos and of the earth has been assumed by some and doubted by many others. An Assyrian building inscription of Sennacherib (K. 1356) states that the bit akitu festival house in Assur had bronze door plates on the central entryway that depicted the battle between Assur (taking Marduk's place) and Tiamat. Sennacherib is himself identified as a substitute for Assur in the battle.

Pallis affirms that the "king acts the part of the leading deity in the battle drama" and that "we cannot doubt that a religious battle drama took place in bit Akitu during the Akitu festival, in which the king acted the part of the divine victor." He further emphasizes that to assume that the bronze door plates described above are "a mere artistic decoration, independent of the cult, is out of the question here." H. Sauren attempts to demonstrate that the Gudea Cylinders form the text for a seven-day "mystery play," carried out each year at the temple dedication feast. He assumes that groups of actors, perhaps extending beyond priestly circles, would have been carefully chosen for each year's enactment.

The view has been fairly widespread that the Baal cycle from Ras Shamra, found along with the other mythological texts in the library or scribal rectory on the temple acropolis, was used by the priests of Ugarit as the text of a dramatic presentation carried out in the temple of Baal. The presence in the Baal texts of the themes of council in heaven, battle between deities, creation, temple building, and sacrificial meal, among others, when coupled with the find spot of the
Figure 18. In the tomb of a priest wearing a robe, his "Room of Entrance" leads to the tomb entrance. His wife (a) stands at the tomb entrance, under their children. The hand into the painting (B) of Vibha is led (in B) by tablets and the Babylonian direction, but it seems to me that they depict the proper to a god. It is interesting to see the tomb tablet from Proposti.

The unit tablets and the und ancestors. Tl
Figure 18. In this depiction of the Opening of the Mouth ceremony (A) at the tomb of Sennedjem (c. 1250 B.C.), his mummy is held upright by a priest wearing an Anubis mask before a small pyramid chapel representing the cosmic mountain. Afterwards he will be taken down into his "Room of Gold" or burial chamber, where the murals show him and his wife (a) seated with their ancestors on one side as their descendants face them in a joyous family reunion, complete with children playing under their chairs. On the opposite wall, Anubis leads Sennedjem by the hand into the presence of Osiris (b). Similarly, in this catacomb painting (B) of more than a thousand years later, the Roman matron Vibia is led (inductio) into the great feast of the next life. 

Tablets and the analogies with Enuma Elish and its role in the Babylonian New Year's festival, would seem to point in this direction, but we cannot certainly decide such an issue. It seems to me that the Ur III and earlier cylinder seals that depict the "presentation, by an intermediary, of a worshipper to a god or a deified king," would prove to be a most interesting study from the point of view of their ritual setting. It is possible that the last preserved part of the Seleucid tablet from Uruk may be relevant in this regard.

Proposition 10. The temple is associated with the realm of the dead, the underworld, the afterlife, the grave.

The unifying feature here is the rites and worship of ancestors. The temple is the link between this world and the
next. It has been called “an antechamber between the worlds.” Tombs can be—and in Egypt and elsewhere are—essentially temples (compare the cosmic orientation, texts written on the tomb walls that guide the deceased into the afterlife, etc.). The unifying principle between temple and tomb is resurrection. Tombs and sarcophagi are “sacred places,” sites of resurrection. In Egyptian religion Nut is depicted on the coffin cover; symbolizing the cosmic orientation (i.e., “Nut is the coffin”). One of the chapels in the Eninnu temple was called “é.ni.ki.sē ‘the house in which one brings offerings for the dead.’” It carried the further description “it is something pure, purified by Abzu.”

There is an intimate connection between burials and temples VIII and XI at Tepe Gawra, the latter of which, according to Arthur Tobler, “attracted considerable numbers of burials to its precincts.”

**Proposition 11.** Sacral, communal meals are carried out in connection with temple ritual, often at the conclusion of or during a covenant ceremony.

Having attempted to establish the temple background of Exodus 19–24 above in discussing Proposition 1, I would like now to introduce 24:11, the meal that directly follows the covenant ceremony of Exodus 24:8, as the prime example of this point. The Gudea Cylinders end with the conjunction of a festive meal attended by all of the gods and the fixing of the destinies. Pallis states that “the akītu festival was concluded by a great sacrificial meal of which all, the gods, the king, the priests, and the people, partook.”

*Enuma Elish* III 128–38 contains the account of the gods entering the sacred chamber where the destinies are decreed, at which time they partook of a festive banquet. We have the recurring theme here of formal act and sacral meal, the same phenomenon that we see in 1 Kings 8 where,
tween the there are—ation, texts ed into the emple and re “sacred tion Nut is amic orien- pels in the e in which he further y Abzu.””77 trials and of which, able num-
ried out in n of or dur-
background l, I would idly follows the prime d with the e gods and akitu festi-which all, partook.”68 f the gods tinies are banquet,69 and sacral ys 8 where,

Figure 19. The Urim and Thummim were sacred stones used in an oracular fashion still not completely understood. When not in use, they were kept in a pouch formed by folding up the embroidered panel of the Breastplate of Judgment.

following Solomon’s dedicatory prayer for the Jerusalem temple (a prayer carried out “with his hands spread up to heaven”), the king held a feast. This prayer fits in remarkably well with the form and the religiosity expressed in the Babylonian psalm cycle su-ila.62

Proposition 12. The tablets of destiny (“tablets of the decrees”) are consulted both in the cosmic sense by the gods, and yearly in a special chamber, in the Eninnu temple of Gudea’s time.64

It is by this means that the will of the deity is communicated to the people through the king or the prophet for a given year.65 The association of sacred meal and setting of the destinies in Enuma Elish and in the Gudea Cylinder B has been pointed out above in discussing Proposition 11. Widengren has an excellent discussion in which he interprets the association of heavenly council, enthronement,
Figure 20. The famous basalt Stele of Hammurabi (A), c. 1700 B.C., depicts the actual ceremony that took place in the Holy of Holies of the Esagila where the sun god Shamash gives Hammurabi the ring and staff of dominion. The detail (B) from the Neo-Sumerian Ummatum Stele shows a close-up of the canon, or measuring rod (cf. Ezekiel 40:3), and the ring of coiled cord used in the process of laying out the temple ground plan.
and tablets of destiny. He writes that “the tablets of Law, as well as the Urim and Thummim, play the same role as the tablets of destiny in being the instrument by which the will of the deity is communicated to the leader of the people, be it Moses or the king.”66 Both the Urim and Thummim and the tablets of destiny are fastened in a pouch on their possessor’s chest.67

Proposition 13. There is a close interrelationship between the temple and law in the ancient Near East.

The building or restoration of a temple is perceived as the moving force behind a restating or “codifying” of basic legal principles and of the “righting” and organizing of proper social order. The Old Testament “clearly associates the conceptions of ‘covenant’ and ‘law’ with one another in a definite relationship.”68 I would add “temple” to this pair. The act of Moses’ appearing “before the Lord” in Exodus 19-24 produced the law, or rather what Mendenhall would call “policy.”69 The action that gives rise to the “codification” of the ancient collections of “royal judgments,” or “just laws”70 is, in my opinion, rebuilding or rededicating of a temple, or the appearance of the king in the temple early in his reign. The Prologue of the Code of Hammurabi places great emphasis on his concern for the temples and cult centers under his sway and finally states, just before the “laws” proper begin: “When Marduk commissioned me to guide the people aright, to direct the land, I established law and justice in the language of the land.”71 This commission from Marduk would presumably have come to Hammurabi in Esagila, where in fact a stela containing the laws was placed.72 The Epilogue also states, “I, Hammurabi, am the king of justice, to whom Shamash committed law.”73 This is not to revive the largely outmoded ideas of Henry Maine and others that law derives from religion;74 it is simply
Figure 21. One of the panels from the White Obelisk shows a calf at the far right being led to sacrifice before the altar. The enthroned goddess, left, bestows power upon her worshiper in another “ring and rod” ceremony as she sits in her temple, with its two pillars, on the usual raised mound.

to look more carefully at what the texts themselves say, which is, I believe, that the impetus by the king to compile the existing body of judicial precedents was seen to come as a result of duties connected with the temple.75

Proposition 14. The temple is a place of sacrifice.

The ubiquity of this aspect of temple worship in the ancient Near East is such that its mention here may seem superfluous. And yet sacrifice has been one of the most difficult, least understood, and most discussed of all religious phenomena.76 In northern Mesopotamia the recent excavations at Tell Chuera in northern Syria have yielded one of the most important archaeological evidences for a sacrificial practice in ancient times. The Akkad period Nord-Tempel yielded remains of an ofteratory stairway at the east entrance along with what appeared to be an offering table and an adjacent Wanne, which would have received the blood of the offerings. The excavators of Tell Chuera compare the remains of this installation with the well-known scene of the White Obelisk of Assurnasirpal I, which shows an
Figure 22. The rare surviving marble sign, part of the sereg, or encircling fence around the temple precinct, warned Gentiles not to enter the inner courts of the temple of Jerusalem during the late Second Temple Period. The elaborate cult installation of sacrificial offering in front of a temple.

Proposition 15. The temple and its ritual are enshrouded in secrecy.

This secrecy relates to the sacredness of the temple precinct and the strict division in ancient times between sacred and profane space. Exodus 19:12–13, 21–24 apply here: there are certain precincts that are “off limits.” To trespass sacred precincts, or to approach sacred objects without being ritually prepared, can result in disaster (see 1 Samuel 6:19–20). A second century A.D. Aramaic inscription from Hatra invokes “the curse of Our Lord and Our Lady and the Son of our Lord and Shahar [en] and Atargatis [be] on [anyone] who enters this point into the shrine.” The Neo-Babylonian tablet that describes the ritual for the consecration and induction of a divine statue concludes with the warning “let initiate instruct initiate, he shall not let the uninitiated see: it is a thing forbidden of Enlil, the elder, [and] Marduk.”

The problem of secrecy relates of course to the question of who was allowed access to the temple precincts, or, rather, to what extent the general populace was allowed
access to the temple ritual. A series of inscriptions on doors of the Ptolemaic temple at Edfu in Egypt relates access to the temple to moral worthiness: “Everyone who enters by this door, beware of entering in impurity, for God loves purity more than millions of possessions, more than hundreds of thousands of fine gold.” And again, “Do not come in sin, do not enter in impurity, do not utter falsehood in his house.” And the admonition to secrecy: “Do not reveal what you have seen in the mysteries of the temples.”

Of course, these admonitions are directed to priests, for, as Fairman writes: “It is clear that for the majority of the people there was not direct contact with either daily service or with many festivals, and no participation in any intimate or sacred rites.” During the ceremonies connected with the New Year festival and the rededication of the temple, “the doors of the temple were shut while they were being celebrated, and no member of the general public witnessed them.”

In Egypt, as well as in Israel and Mesopotamia, the primary way that the general populace would have taken part in temple ritual was through attendance at the great processions and the public banquets that would take place at the end of a ritual period. But all Israelite males were commanded to “appear before the Lord God” three times during the year (Exodus 23:17; 34:23), and this was expanded to include all members of the family, as we see in Deuteronomy 16:1, 14 and 31:11-12. Inscriptions on the south gate of the temenos of the Edfu temple give further insight into what access the common people would have had to the temple, and what role it would have played in the religion of the people: “It is the standing place of those who have and those who have not in order to pray for life from the Lord of Life. . . . The place for hearing the petitions of all petitioners in order to judge Truth from Falsehood. It is the great place for
championing the poor in order to rescue them from the strong. . . . The place outside which offerings are made at all times consisting of all the produce of the servants."

The Epilogue of the Code of Hammurabi states that a stele containing the Code was placed in Esagila, where any oppressed person could read the pertinent passages of the laws and thus understand his cause. But as Wiseman writes, it is unlikely that common Babylonians could have come into the sacred precincts of Esagila to examine the stele. Copies of the stele would presumably have been available elsewhere. Yet another insight into the extent to which common people would have had access to temples comes from the countless votive sculptures that archaeologists find in the excavation of temple ruins. Such statues, meant to represent their human offerers, often inscribed, and presumably manufactured, in a temple workshop and available for purchase by the donor, would be placed in the temple, presumably by priests, and stationed on benches in the sanctuary, in an adjoining room, or in a courtyard. The statue would then stand perpetually before the effigy of the deity, representing the blessings the offerer hoped to obtain. The Early Dynastic temples in the Diyala Valley give us classic architectural examples of temple precincts that are successively cut off from their immediate surroundings and made inaccessible to passersby by means of thick walls and elaborate series of courtyards.
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